

# A GENERALIZATION OF THE PICARD-BRAUER EXACT SEQUENCE

CRISTIAN D. GONZÁLEZ-AVILÉS

**ABSTRACT.** We extend an argument of S.Lichtenbaum involving codimension one cycles to higher codimensions and obtain a generalization of the well-known Picard-Brauer exact sequence for a smooth variety  $X$ . The resulting exact sequence connects the codimension  $n$  Chow group of  $X$  with a certain “Brauer-like” group.

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

Let  $k$  be a field and let  $X$  be a geometrically integral algebraic  $k$ -scheme. We write  $\bar{k}$  for a fixed separable algebraic closure of  $k$  and set  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ . The  $\bar{k}$ -scheme  $X \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } \bar{k}$  will be denoted by  $\bar{X}$ . Let  $\bar{k}[X]^* = H_{\text{ét}}^0(\bar{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)$  and  $\text{Br}'X = H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$  be, respectively, the group of invertible regular functions on  $\bar{X}$  and the cohomological Brauer group of  $X$ . The exact sequence mentioned in the title is the familiar exact sequence

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H^1(k, \bar{k}[X]^*) \rightarrow \text{Pic } X \rightarrow (\text{Pic } \bar{X})^\Gamma \rightarrow H^2(k, \bar{k}[X]^*) \rightarrow \text{Br}'_1 X \\ &\rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Pic } \bar{X}) \rightarrow H^3(k, \bar{k}[X]^*) \end{aligned}$$

where  $H^i(k, -) = H^i(\Gamma, -)$  and  $\text{Br}'_1 X = \text{Ker}(\text{Br}'X \rightarrow \text{Br}'\bar{X})$ . This sequence may be obtained from the exact sequence of terms of low degree belonging to the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$H^r(k, H_{\text{ét}}^s(\bar{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)) \implies H_{\text{ét}}^{r+s}(X, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

When  $X$  is *smooth* (which we assume from now on), there exists an alternative derivation of (1) which makes use of the following (no less familiar) exact sequence:

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bar{k}[X]^* \rightarrow \bar{k}(X)^* \rightarrow \text{Div } \bar{X} \rightarrow \text{Pic } \bar{X} \rightarrow 0$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C15; Secondary 14C25.

*Key words and phrases.* Chow groups, Gersten-Quillen complex,  $K$ -cohomology.

The author is partially supported by Fondecyt grant 1080025.

where  $\overline{k}(X)^*$  (resp.  $\text{Div } \overline{X}$ ) is the group of invertible rational functions (resp. Cartier divisors) on  $\overline{X}$ . This approach, seemingly first used by S.Lichtenbaum in [4] and then reconsidered by Yu.Manin [5, p.403], consists in splitting (2) into two short exact sequences of  $\Gamma$ -modules and then taking  $\Gamma$ -cohomology of these sequences. The resulting long  $\Gamma$ -cohomology sequences are then appropriately combined to produce (1). This paper is a generalization of this idea. The key observation to make is that (2) may be seen as arising from the Gersten-Quillen complex corresponding to the Zariski sheaf  $\mathcal{K}_{1,\overline{X}}$ , which is the sheaf on  $\overline{X}$  associated to the presheaf  $U \mapsto K_1(U) = H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_U)^*$ . In Section 2 we work with the Gersten-Quillen complex corresponding to the Zariski sheaf  $\mathcal{K}_{n,\overline{X}}$  associated to the presheaf  $U \mapsto K_n(U)$ , where  $K_n$  is Quillen's  $n$ -th  $K$ -functor ( $1 \leq n \leq d = \dim(X)$ ), and obtain the following result. Let  $\partial^{n-1}: \bigoplus_{y \in \overline{X}^{n-1}} \overline{k}(y)^* \rightarrow Z^n(\overline{X})$  be the “sum of divisors” map and let  $B_n(X)$  be the kernel of the induced map

$$H^2\left(k, \bigoplus_{y \in \overline{X}^{n-1}} \overline{k}(y)^*\right) \rightarrow H^2(k, Z^n(\overline{X})).$$

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth, geometrically integral, algebraic  $k$ -scheme. Then there exists a natural exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow CH^n(X) \rightarrow CH^n(\overline{X})^\Gamma \rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \\ &\rightarrow B_n(X) \rightarrow H^1(k, CH^n(\overline{X})) \rightarrow H^3(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The case  $n = 1$  of the theorem is precisely the exact sequence (1).

In Section 4, which concludes the paper, we show that the group  $B_n(X)$  in the exact sequence of the theorem is “Brauer-like”, in the sense that it contains a copy of  $\text{Br}_1 Y = \text{Ker}[\text{Br } Y \rightarrow \text{Br } \overline{Y}]$  for every smooth closed integral subscheme  $Y \subset X$  of codimension  $n - 1$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We keep the notations of the Introduction. In particular,  $X$  is a smooth, geometrically integral algebraic  $k$ -scheme of dimension  $d$  and  $n$  denotes a fixed integer such that  $1 \leq n \leq d$ .

There exists a natural bijection between the set of schematic points of  $X$  and the set of closed integral subschemes of  $X$ . This is defined by associating to a point  $x \in X$  the schematic closure  $V(x)$  of  $x$  in  $X$ . The codimension (resp. dimension) of  $x$  is by definition the codimension (resp. dimension) of  $V(x)$ . The set of points of  $X$  of codimension (resp. dimension)  $i$  will be denoted by  $X^i$  (resp.  $X_i$ ), and  $\eta$  (resp.  $\overline{\eta}$ ) will denote the generic point of  $X$  (resp.  $\overline{X}$ ). If  $x \neq \eta$ , the function field of  $V(x)$  will be denoted by  $k(x)$ . We use the standard notation  $k(X)$

for the function field of  $X = V(\eta)$ . For each  $x \in X$ ,  $i_x$  will denote the canonical map  $\text{Spec } k(x) \rightarrow X$ . The function field of  $\overline{X}$  will be denoted by  $\overline{k}(X)$ . For simplicity, we will write  $V(\overline{x})$  for  $V(x) \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } \overline{k}$ .

Since  $\overline{X}$  is regular [3, 6.7.4], the sheaf  $\mathcal{K}_{n,\overline{X}}$  admits the following flasque resolution, known as the Gersten-Quillen resolution (see [7, p.72]):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{n,\overline{X}} &\rightarrow (i_{\overline{\eta}})_* K_n \overline{k}(X) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in \overline{X}^1} (i_y)_* K_{n-1} \overline{k}(y) \rightarrow \dots \\ &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in \overline{X}^{n-1}} (i_y)_* \overline{k}(y)^* \rightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in \overline{X}^n} (i_y)_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where, for  $y \in \overline{X}^i$ ,  $K_{n-i} \overline{k}(y)$  is regarded as a constant sheaf on  $\overline{k}(y)$ . It follows that the groups  $H^i(\overline{X}, \mathcal{K}_{n,\overline{X}}) = H^i(\overline{X}, \mathcal{K}_n)$  are the cohomology groups of the complex

$$(3) \quad K_n \overline{k}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial^0} \bigoplus_{y \in \overline{X}^1} K_{n-1} \overline{k}(y) \xrightarrow{\partial^1} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial^{n-2}} \bigoplus_{y \in \overline{X}^{n-1}} \overline{k}(y)^* \xrightarrow{\partial^{n-1}} \bigoplus_{y \in \overline{X}^n} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now, if  $q: \overline{X} \rightarrow X$  is the canonical morphism and  $x \in X$ , we write  $\overline{X}_x^{n-i}$  for the set of points  $y \in \overline{X}^{n-i}$  such that  $q(y) = x$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $x \in X^{n-i}$ , set

$$\overline{K}_i(x) = \bigoplus_{y \in \overline{X}_x^{n-i}} K_i \overline{k}(y).$$

Further, write  $Z^n(\overline{X})$  for the group of codimension  $n$  cycles on  $\overline{X}$ , i.e.,

$$Z^n(\overline{X}) = \bigoplus_{y \in \overline{X}^n} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then (3) may be written as

$$(4) \quad K_n \overline{k}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial^0} \bigoplus_{x \in X^1} \overline{K}_{n-1}(x) \xrightarrow{\partial^1} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial^{n-2}} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{n-1}} \overline{K}_1(x) \xrightarrow{\partial^{n-1}} Z^n(\overline{X}).$$

The differential  $\partial^{n-1}$  equals  $\sum_{x \in X^{n-1}} \partial_x^{n-1}$ , where, for each  $x \in X^{n-1}$ ,

$$\partial_x^{n-1}: \overline{K}_1(x) = \bigoplus_{y \in \overline{X}_x^{n-1}} \overline{k}(y)^* \rightarrow Z^n(\overline{X})$$

is the sum of the divisor maps

$$\text{div}_y: \overline{k}(y)^* \rightarrow Z^n(\overline{X}).$$

For definition of the latter, see [7, p.72]. We note that each of the maps  $\text{div}_y$  factors through  $Z^1(V(y))$ , whence each  $\partial_x^{n-1}$  factors through  $Z^1(V(\bar{x}))$ .

We will write  $CH^n(X)$  for the Chow group of codimension  $n$  cycles on  $X$  modulo rational equivalence. Then  $H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n) = CH^n(X)$  (“Bloch’s formula”).

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

The complex (4) induces the following short exact sequences of  $\Gamma$ -modules:

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Im } \partial^{n-1} \rightarrow Z^n(\bar{X}) \rightarrow CH^n(\bar{X}) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{n-1}} \bar{K}_1(x) \rightarrow \text{Im } \partial^{n-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Observe that the natural morphism  $q: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  induces a homomorphism  $CH^n(X) \rightarrow CH^n(\bar{X})^\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *There exist canonical isomorphisms*

$$\text{Ker} \left[ CH^n(X) \rightarrow CH^n(\bar{X})^\Gamma \right] = H^1(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1})$$

$$\text{Coker} \left[ CH^n(X) \rightarrow CH^n(\bar{X})^\Gamma \right] = H^1(k, \text{Im } \partial^{n-1})$$

and a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(k, CH^n(\bar{X})) \rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Im } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^2(k, Z^n(\bar{X})).$$

*Proof.* This follows by taking  $\Gamma$ -cohomology of (5), using the fact that  $Z^n(\bar{X})$  is a permutation  $\Gamma$ -module and arguing as in [1, proof of Proposition 3.6] to establish the first isomorphism.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *The exact sequence (6) induces an exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Im } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{n-1}} H^2(k, \bar{K}_1(x)) \\ &\rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Im } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^3(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Shapiro’s Lemma, for each  $x \in X^{n-1}$  there exists a (non-canonical) isomorphism

$$H^*(k, \bar{K}_1(x)) \simeq H^*(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}(y)/k(x)), \bar{k}(y)^*)$$

where, on the right, we have chosen a point  $y \in \bar{X}^{n-1}$  such that  $q(y) = x$ . The result now follows by taking  $\Gamma$ -cohomology of (6), using Hilbert’s Theorem 90.  $\square$

Combining Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain

**Proposition 3.3.** *There exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow CH^n(X) \rightarrow CH^n(\overline{X})^I \\ &\rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{n-1}} H^2(k, \overline{K}_1(x)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now define

$$(7) \quad B_n(X) = \text{Ker} \left[ H^2(k, \bigoplus_{x \in X^{n-1}} \overline{K}_1(x)) \rightarrow H^2(k, Z^n(\overline{X})) \right],$$

where the map involved is induced by  $\partial^{n-1}$ . Since the composite

$$\text{Ker } \partial^{n-1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{n-1}} \overline{K}_1(x) \xrightarrow{\partial^{n-1}} Z^n(\overline{X})$$

is zero, the natural map  $H^2(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{n-1}} H^2(k, \overline{K}_1(x))$  factors through  $B_n(X)$ . Thus Proposition 3.3 yields a natural exact sequence

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow CH^n(X) \rightarrow CH^n(\overline{X})^I \\ &\rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow B_n(X). \end{aligned}$$

We will now extend the above exact sequence by defining a map  $B_n(X) \rightarrow H^1(k, CH^n(\overline{X}))$  whose kernel is exactly the image of the map  $H^2(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow B_n(X)$  appearing in (8).

It is not difficult to check that the map

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X^{n-1}} H^2(k, \overline{K}_1(x)) \rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Im } \partial^{n-1})$$

intervening in the exact sequence of Lemma 3.2 maps  $B_n(X)$  into the kernel of the map  $H^2(k, \text{Im } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^2(k, Z^n(\overline{X}))$ . The latter is naturally isomorphic to  $H^1(k, CH^n(\overline{X}))$  (see Lemma 3.1). Thus there exists a canonical map  $B_n(X) \rightarrow H^1(k, CH^n(\overline{X}))$ . Again, it is not difficult to check that the kernel of the map just defined is exactly the image of the map  $H^2(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow B_n(X)$  appearing in (8). Thus we obtain a natural exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow CH^n(X) \rightarrow CH^n(\overline{X})^I \rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \\ &\rightarrow B_n(X) \rightarrow H^1(k, CH^n(\overline{X})). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the homomorphisms  $H^1(k, CH^n(\overline{X})) \rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Im } \partial^{n-1})$  and  $H^2(k, \text{Im } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^3(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1})$  from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 induce a map  $H^1(k, CH^n(\overline{X})) \rightarrow H^3(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1})$  whose kernel is exactly the image of the map  $B_n(X) \rightarrow H^1(k, CH^n(\overline{X}))$  defined above. Thus the following holds.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $X$  be a smooth  $k$ -variety. Then there exists a natural exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \rightarrow CH^n(X) \rightarrow CH^n(\overline{X})^F \rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}) \\ &\rightarrow B_n(X) \rightarrow H^1(k, CH^n(\overline{X})) \rightarrow H^3(k, \text{Ker } \partial^{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_n(X)$  is the group (7).

*Remark 3.5.* When  $n = 1$ , there are natural isomorphisms  $CH^n(X) = \text{Pic } X$  and  $CH^n(\overline{X}) = \text{Pic } \overline{X}$  [3, 21.6.10 and 21.11.1]. Further,  $X^{n-1} = \{\eta\}$ ,  $\partial^{n-1} = \partial_\eta^{n-1}: \overline{K}_1(\eta) = \overline{k}(X)^* \rightarrow \text{Div } \overline{X}$  is the usual divisor map (whose kernel equals  $H^0(\overline{X}, \mathbb{G}_m) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \overline{k}[X]^*$ ) and

$$B_n(X) = B_1(X) = \text{Ker} [H^2(k, \overline{k}(X)^*) \rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Div } \overline{X})] = \text{Br}_1 X,$$

where  $\text{Br}_1 X = \text{Ker} (\text{Br } X \rightarrow \text{Br } \overline{X})$  (see the next section). Thus the exact sequence of the theorem is indeed a generalization of (1).

#### 4. THE GROUP $B_n(X)$

In this Section we show that the group  $B_n(X)$  appearing in the exact sequence of Theorem 3.4 contains a copy of  $\text{Br}_1 Y = \text{Ker} (\text{Br } Y \rightarrow \text{Br } \overline{Y})$  for *every* smooth closed integral subscheme  $Y \subset X$  of codimension  $n - 1$ .

Recall that  $\partial^{n-1} = \sum_{x \in X^{n-1}} \partial_x^{n-1}$ , where, for each  $x \in X^{n-1}$ ,

$$\partial_x^{n-1}: \overline{K}_1(x) = \bigoplus_{y \in \overline{X}_x^{n-1}} \overline{k}(y)^* \rightarrow Z^1(V(\overline{x}))$$

is the sum of divisors map. For each  $x \in X^{n-1}$ , set

$$B_n(x) = \text{Ker} [H^2(k, \overline{K}_1(x)) \rightarrow H^2(k, Z^1(V(\overline{x})))],$$

where the map involved is induced by  $\partial_x^{n-1}$ , and let

$$\Sigma: \bigoplus_{x \in X^{n-1}} H^2(k, Z^1(V(\overline{x}))) \rightarrow H^2(k, Z^n(\overline{X}))$$

be the natural map  $(\xi_x) \mapsto \sum c_x(\xi_x)$ , where  $c_x: H^2(k, Z^1(V(\overline{x}))) \rightarrow H^2(k, Z^n(\overline{X}))$  is induced by the inclusion  $Z^1(V(\overline{x})) \subset Z^n(\overline{X})$ . Then there exists a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{n-1}} B_n(x) \rightarrow B_n(X) \rightarrow \text{Ker } \Sigma.$$

We will relate the groups  $B_n(x)$  to more familiar objects.

Fix  $x \in X^{n-1}$  and set  $Y = V(x)$ . Then  $Y$  is a geometrically reduced algebraic  $k$ -scheme [3, 4.6.4]. Further, the map  $\overline{K}_1(x) \rightarrow Z^1(\overline{Y})$  factors through  $\text{Div } \overline{Y}$ , the group of Cartier divisors on  $\overline{Y}$ . Consider

$$(9) \quad B'_n(x) = \text{Ker} [H^2(k, \overline{K}_1(x)) \rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Div } \overline{Y})] \subset B_n(x).$$

Let  $\mathcal{R}_{\overline{Y}}^*$  denote the étale sheaf of invertible rational functions on  $\overline{Y}$ . Note that  $\overline{K}_1(x) = H^0(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{R}_{\overline{Y}}^*)$ . Now, since  $\overline{Y}$  is reduced, there exists an exact sequence of étale sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\overline{Y}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}iv_{\overline{Y}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}iv_{\overline{Y}}$  is the sheaf of Cartier divisors on  $\overline{Y}$  [3, 20.1.4 and 20.2.13]. This exact sequence gives rise to an exact sequence of étale cohomology groups

$$(10) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{D}iv_{\overline{Y}}) \rightarrow \text{Br}' \overline{Y} \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{R}_{\overline{Y}}^*) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{D}iv_{\overline{Y}})$$

where  $\text{Br}' \overline{Y} = H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{G}_m)$  is the cohomological Brauer group of  $\overline{Y}$  [2, II, p.73]. Similarly, there exists an exact sequence

$$(11) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathcal{D}iv_Y) \rightarrow \text{Br}' Y \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y, \mathcal{R}_Y^*) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y, \mathcal{D}iv_Y).$$

We will regard  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{D}iv_{\overline{Y}})$  (resp.  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathcal{D}iv_Y)$ ) as a subgroup of  $\text{Br}' \overline{Y}$  (resp.  $\text{Br}' Y$ ).

Now the exact sequence of terms of low degree

$$0 \rightarrow E_2^{1,0} \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow E_2^{0,1} \rightarrow E_2^{2,0} \rightarrow \text{Ker}(E^2 \rightarrow E_2^{0,2}) \rightarrow E_2^{1,1} \rightarrow E_2^{3,0}$$

belonging to the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(k, H_{\text{ét}}^q(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{R}_{\overline{Y}}^*)) \implies H_{\text{ét}}^{p+q}(Y, \mathcal{R}_Y^*)$$

yields, using [2, II, Lemma 1.6, p.72], an exact sequence

$$(12) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^2(k, \overline{K}_1(x)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y, \mathcal{R}_Y^*) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{R}_{\overline{Y}}^*).$$

Similarly, the spectral sequence

$$H^p(k, H_{\text{ét}}^q(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{D}iv_{\overline{Y}})) \implies H_{\text{ét}}^{p+q}(Y, \mathcal{D}iv_Y)$$

yields a complex

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H^1(k, \text{Div } \overline{Y}) & \rightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathcal{D}iv_Y) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{D}iv_{\overline{Y}})^I \\ & & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H^2(k, \text{Div } \overline{Y}) & \rightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y, \mathcal{D}iv_Y) & \rightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{D}iv_{\overline{Y}}) \end{array}$$

which is exact except perhaps at  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y, \mathcal{D}iv_Y)$ . The map labeled  $\psi$  in (13) is induced by the canonical morphism  $\overline{Y} \rightarrow Y$ , while the map  $\varphi$  is the differential  $d_2^{0,1}$  coming from the spectral sequence (see [6, II.4, pp.39-52]). Now we have a commutative diagram

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \overline{K}_1(x)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y, \mathcal{R}_Y^*) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{R}_{\overline{Y}}^*) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^2(k, \text{Div } \overline{Y}) / \text{Im } \varphi & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y, \mathcal{D}iv_Y) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^2(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{D}iv_{\overline{Y}}). \end{array}$$

in which the top row is the exact sequence (12), the bottom row (which is only a complex) is derived from (13), and the middle and right-hand vertical maps are the maps in (11) and (10), respectively. Set

$$\widehat{\text{Br}}'_1 Y = \text{Ker} \left[ \text{Br}'_1 Y / H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathcal{D}iv_Y) \rightarrow \text{Br}'_1 \overline{Y} / H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{D}iv_{\overline{Y}}) \right].$$

Then the above diagram yields a natural isomorphism

$$(15) \quad \widehat{\text{Br}}'_1 Y = \text{Ker} [H^2(k, \overline{K}_1(x)) \rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Div } \overline{Y}) / \text{Im } \varphi].$$

(Note: only the exactness of the top row of (14) is needed to obtain the above isomorphism.) On the other hand, there exists an obvious exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B'_n(x) \rightarrow \text{Ker} [H^2(k, \overline{K}_1(x)) \rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Div } \overline{Y}) / \text{Im } \varphi] \rightarrow \text{Im } \varphi,$$

where  $B'_n(x)$  is the group (9). Using (15) and the fact that  $\text{Im } \varphi$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\text{Coker } \psi$ , where  $\psi$  is the map appearing in (13), we conclude that there exists a natural exact sequence

$$(16) \quad 0 \rightarrow B'_n(x) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Br}}'_1 Y \xrightarrow{h} \text{Coker } \psi.$$

The map labeled  $h$  in the above exact sequence can be briefly described as “ $\varphi^{-1} \circ h^2(\text{div}) \circ u^{-1}$ ”, where  $u: H^2(k, \overline{K}_1(x)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y, \mathcal{R}_Y^*)$  is the map intervening in (14) and  $h^2(\text{div}): H^2(k, \overline{K}_1(x)) \rightarrow H^2(k, \text{Div } \overline{Y})$  is induced by  $\text{div}: \overline{K}_1(x) \rightarrow \text{Div } \overline{Y}$ . Next, set

$$\text{Br}'_1 Y = \text{Ker} [\text{Br}'_1 Y \rightarrow \text{Br}'_1 \overline{Y}].$$

There exists a natural exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathcal{D}iv_Y) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}'_1 Y & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}'_1 Y / H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y, \mathcal{D}iv_Y) \\ & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{D}iv_{\overline{Y}})^{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & (\text{Br}'_1 \overline{Y})^{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & (\text{Br}'_1 \overline{Y} / H_{\text{ét}}^1(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{D}iv_{\overline{Y}}))^{\Gamma}. \end{array}$$

An application of the snake lemma to the above diagram yields a natural exact sequence

$$(17) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Div } \overline{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Br}'_1 Y \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Br}}'_1 Y \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Coker } \psi.$$



Now using the explicit description of the map  $\delta$  [8, Lemma 1.3.2, p.11] together with the description of the map  $\varphi = d_2^{0,1}$  from [6, §II.4], it can be shown (with some work) that the maps  $h$  in (16) and  $\delta$  in (17) are the same. Thus we obtain

**Proposition 4.1.** *There exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$B'_n(x) = \mathrm{Br}'_1 Y / H^1(k, \mathrm{Div} \bar{Y}).$$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $x \in X^{n-1}$  be such that  $\bar{Y} = V(\bar{x})$  is locally factorial (this holds, for example, if  $Y = V(x)$  is regular). Then there exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$B_n(x) = \mathrm{Br}'_1 Y.$$

*Proof.* The hypothesis implies that  $\mathrm{Div} \bar{Y} = Z^1(\bar{Y})$  [3, 21.6.9], so  $B_n(x) = B'_n(x)$ . On the other hand, since  $Z^1(\bar{Y})$  is a permutation  $\Gamma$ -module,  $H^1(k, \mathrm{Div} \bar{Y}) = H^1(k, Z^1(\bar{Y})) = 0$ . The result is now immediate from the proposition.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L. and Raskind, W.:  *$\mathcal{K}_2$ -Cohomology and the second Chow group* Math. Ann. **270**, pp.165-199 (1985).
- [2] Grothendieck, A.: *Le Groupe de Brauer I-III*. In: Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas. North-Holland, Amsterdam, pp.46-188 (1968).
- [3] Grothendieck, A. and Dieudonné, J.: *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV*. Publ. Math. IHES **20,24,28,32**, 1960-1967.
- [4] Lichtenbaum, S.: *Duality theorems for curves over  $P$ -adic fields*. Invent. Math. **7**, pp. 120-126 (1969).
- [5] Manin, Yu.: *Le groupe de Brauer-Grothendieck en géométrie diophantienne*. In: Actes du Congrès Intern. Math. Nice I, pp. 401-411 (1970).
- [6] Shatz, S.: *Profinite groups, Arithmetic, and Geometry*. Ann. of Math. Studies **67**, Princeton Univ. Press (1972).
- [7] Srinivas, V.: *Algebraic K-theory (2nd. Edition)* Progress in Math. **90**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [8] Weibel, C.: *An introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. **38**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA SERENA, LA SERENA, CHILE

*E-mail address:* cgonzalez@userena.cl